

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

You little fascist nazi's have to realize that freedom of speech (and the ability to be heard) are the only things that separate us from russia and nazi germany. Perhaps you like the idea of your corporate contributors owning all all news sources and not allowing your political opponents the right to be heard, like more or less goes on now. but normal, average americans don't believe in totalitarianism like you and your boss do, and you're not here to serve your evil ideologym, but rather the public discourse. so either you. I mean, really, you people out outright lying if you think that we don't need a diversified way of getting news, not every has internet access you know, maybe all your friends do, and all their children, but what about the people who really need to get the news? the people who don't have the time to research all the issues, they need television broadcast news that can be trusted, not some right wing screed corporate screed. This is democracy.